

AGENDA
Minerals Subcommittee
Wednesday, 13 March 2019
International Centre Headquarters
Conference Room A
2:00 p.m. - 3:00 p.m.
A. Roberts - Chairman

- | | |
|--|------------|
| 1. Call to Order | A. Roberts |
| 2. Appointment of Minutes Secretary | L. Lanno |
| 3. Approval of Minutes of the March 2018 Meeting | |
| 4. Review of Mission Statement | |

The objective of the Minerals Subcommittee shall be to maintain the quality of the products which serve the mineralogical and industrial communities. Furthermore, the goals of the subcommittee shall be to continue the evolution of products that serve these communities and to maintain as current a database as is possible.

- | | |
|---|-------------------------|
| 5. Board of Directors' Liaison Report (no motions) | S. Misture |
| 6. Report from Mineral Task Group and other Info | A. Roberts |
| a. Mineral name updates from CNMNC Newsletters (continuing) | A. Roberts |
| b. Mineral group classification update for 2019 | S. Kabekkodu/A. Roberts |
| 7. New Business | |
| a. IMA numbers for post 1959 minerals (continuing) | T. Blanton/A. Roberts |
| b. Motion on dropping Schaller modifiers in Mineral Name Field and using “-bearing” instead | A. Roberts |
| c. Clay Minerals Task Group Update for 2017-2019 | S. Hillier |

Motion: The Mineral Subcommittee recommends to the Technical Committee the following: within the Mineral Name field, Schaller modifiers should be replaced by the term “-bearing”. For example, “Flourapatite, strontian” would now become “Flourapatite, Sr-bearing”. Minerals with two or more Schaller modifiers would have the pertinent

elemental symbols separated by commas; e.g. “Barite, calcian, strontian” thus becomes “Barite, Ca-,Sr-bearing”. The Mineral Task group has agreed to this terminology, but this is not IUPAC approved. The IUPAC recommendation (as proposed by Peter Bayliss) is to use the term “-rich”. We believe that the English word “rich” implies the wrong connotation, and would prefer “bearing” instead.

8. Adjournment